MAK HILL Publications Research Journal of Medical Sciences

Research Article
doi: 10.59218/makrjms.2024.3.34.38

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words
Spinal surgery, postoperative complications, surgical techniques, patient outcomes, comorbidity management, healthcare costs, retrospective study

Corresponding Author
Golla Ramakrishna,
Department of Neurosurgery, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India
gracyvivek777@gmail.com

Author Designation
[1]Associate Professor
[2,3]Professor

Received: 20 November 2023
Accepted: 28 December 2023
Published: 31 December 2023

Citation: Golla Ramakrishna, K. Jagadeesh Babu, K.V.V. Satyanarayana Murthy, 2023. Strategies for the Prevention and Management of Complications in Spinal Surgery: An Institutional Perspective. Res. J. Med. Sci., 18: 34-38, doi: 10.59218/makrjms.2024.3.34.38

Strategies for the Prevention and Management of Complications in Spinal Surgery: An Institutional Perspective

[1]Golla Ramakrishna, [2]K. Jagadeesh Babu, [3]K.V.V. Satyanarayana Murthy

[1,3]Department of Neurosurgery, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

[2]Department of Neurosurgery, Mamata Medical College, Khammam, Telangana, India

ABSTRACT

Spinal surgery, though beneficial for various spinal conditions, carries inherent risks of complications affecting patient outcomes and healthcare costs. Understanding and managing these complications is critical. This retrospective observational study involved 30 patients who underwent spinal surgery. Data on patient demographics, surgical details and postoperative complications were analyzed. Statistical tests were used to identify potential predictors of complications. The study identified a high prevalence of comorbidities, an equal distribution of minimally invasive and open surgical techniques and a notable rate of postoperative complications such as wound infections. The study highlighted the importance of preoperative planning and postoperative care. Effective management of comorbidities, careful surgical planning and enhanced postoperative care are crucial in reducing complications in spinal surgery. Our findings align with current literature, emphasizing the need for comprehensive approaches to improve surgical outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Spinal surgery is a complex and delicate medical procedure that is performed to address a wide range of spinal conditions, including herniated discs, spinal stenosis, scoliosis and spinal cord injuries. While these surgeries can provide significant relief and improve the quality of life for patients, they also come with inherent risks and potential complications. It is crucial for healthcare professionals to be well-versed in the prevention and management of complications in spinal surgery to ensure the best possible outcomes for their patients[1].

Complications in spinal surgery can vary in severity, ranging from minor issues such as wound infections and postoperative pain to more serious complications like nerve damage, paralysis and even death. These complications can result from various factors, including surgical techniques, patient factors, and postoperative care. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of the causes, prevention and management strategies for spinal surgery complications is essential for healthcare providers involved in spinal surgery[2].

Spinal surgery, a specialized field within the realm of orthopedics and neurosurgery, plays a pivotal role in the management of various spinal conditions, offering the promise of relief and improved quality of life to countless patients[3]. These conditions can range from degenerative disc diseases and spinal stenosis to deformities like scoliosis and traumatic injuries that affect the spinal cord. While spinal surgery holds great potential, it is also fraught with inherent complexities and potential complications[4].

The goal of spinal surgery is to alleviate pain, correct deformities, stabilize the spine, and enhance the patient's overall well-being. However, achieving these objectives requires not only surgical skill but also a deep understanding of the factors that can lead to complications. Complications can manifest at various stages of the surgical process, during the procedure itself or in the postoperative recovery period[5]. They can encompass a wide spectrum of issues, ranging from relatively minor problems like wound infections and postoperative discomfort to more severe and life-altering complications, such as nerve damage, paralysis and in rare cases, fatalities[6].

To ensure the best possible outcomes for patients undergoing spinal surgery, healthcare professionals must be well-versed in the intricacies of preventing and managing these complications. The prevention and management of spinal surgery complications extend beyond the skills of the surgeon alone, it necessitates a multidisciplinary approach that includes the expertise of surgeons, anesthesiologists, nurses, physical therapists and other healthcare providers. This collaborative approach is vital in addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by spinal surgery, minimizing risks and optimizing patient recovery and satisfaction[7].

The present study was aimed to study the common complications associated with spinal surgery, examining their causes, prevention strategies and management approaches. By shedding light on the importance of collaborative care and evidence-based practices, we aim to equip healthcare providers with the knowledge and tools needed to navigate the complexities of spinal surgery, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is designed as a retrospective observational study with a sample size of 30 patients who have undergone spinal surgery. The study aims to analyze the incidence, types and management of complications in spinal surgery. This study has received approval from the Institutional Review Board. Patient confidentiality and privacy was strictly maintained, and all data will be anonymized.

Patient selection

Inclusion criteria:

- Patients aged between 20-60 years
- Patients who underwent spinal surgery

Exclusion criteria:

- Patients with incomplete medical records
- Patients who underwent revision surgery within specific time frame

Data collection:

- Patient demographic information (age, gender, comorbidities) and preoperative characteristics were collected from electronic health records (EHRs)
- Surgical details, including the type of surgery, surgeon qualifications and surgical techniques employed, were documented
- Postoperative complications, including wound infections, dural tears, neurological deficits and others, were identified through EHR review
- The duration of follow-up post-surgery for each patient was also recorded

Outcome measures:

- The primary outcome measures include the incidence and types of complications following spinal surgery
- Secondary outcome measures include the length of hospital stay, reoperation rates and patient-reported outcomes, such as pain levels and functional improvements

Data analysis: Descriptive statistics was used to summarize patient demographics, surgical details and complication rates. Chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact tests was used to compare categorical variables, while t-tests or Mann-Whitney U-tests will be used for continuous variables. Logistic regression analysis was also performed to identify potential predictors of complications. A p>0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Sample size justification:

- The sample size of 30 patients was determined based on a power analysis to detect a clinically significant difference in complication rates with a confidence level of 95% and a power of 80%
- Previous studies with similar objectives and patient populations were used to estimate effect sizes and variability

Limitations:

- The retrospective nature of the study may introduce bias and limitations in data collection
- The study's sample size is relatively small, which may limit the generalizability of the findings
- Variability in surgical techniques and patient characteristics may also affect the results

RESULTS

The Table 1 summarizes key demographic and preoperative characteristics of 30 patients undergoing spinal surgery. The average age of the patients is 56.3 years, with a standard deviation of 7.2 years. There are slightly more male patients (17) than female patients (13). A notable finding is that 22 patients have comorbidities, while 8 do not.

In terms of preoperative diagnoses, the most common condition is a herniated disc, affecting 40% of patients, followed by spinal stenosis (26.7%), scoliosis (20%), and other spinal issues (13.3%). The p-values provide statistical insights. Age shows a trend (p = 0.072), while gender (p = 0.321) and comorbidities (p = 0.015) exhibit less significant associations. These findings offer a snapshot of the patient population and highlight potential factors that may impact surgical outcomes.

This Table 2 provides a comprehensive overview of the surgical characteristics and qualifications of medical teams involved in spinal surgeries. It outlines the distribution of different surgical procedures, surgeon qualifications, and techniques utilized, along with associated p-values indicating statistical significance.

Regarding the "Type of Surgery," it reveals that discectomy and fusion procedures are the most common, while decompression and other procedures are less frequent. Notably, decompression surgeries show a statistically significant association (p = 0.042*) compared to other surgical types. In terms of "Surgeon Qualifications" the majority of surgeries are performed by experienced surgeons, followed by intermediate and less experienced ones. Although there is a trend toward significance for experienced surgeons (p = 0.071), further investigation may be needed.

Finally, "Surgical Techniques" are equally divided between minimally invasive and open surgery approaches, with no statistically significant difference between them (p = 0.124). This data offers insights into the diversity of surgical methods and the qualifications of the surgical team, which can inform discussions on surgical outcomes and preferences.

Table 1: Patient demographic information and preoperative characteristics

Characteristic	Mean (±SD)	p-value
Age (years)	56.3 (±7.2)	0.072
Gender (male/female)	17/13	0.321
Comorbidities (yes/no)	22/8	0.015
Preoperative diagnosis		
Herniated disc	12 (40%)	
Spinal stenosis	8 (26.7%)	
Scoliosis	6 (20%)	
Other	4 (13.3%)	

Table 2: Distribution of the subjects surgical details

Surgical Detail	Mean (±SD)	p-value
Type of surgery		
Discectomy	10 (33.3%)	0.152
Fusion (PLIF/TLIF)	12 (40%)	0.287
Decompression	5 (16.7%)	0.042*
Other	3 (10%)	0.611
Surgeon qualifications		
Experienced	20 (66.7%)	0.071
Intermediate	6 (20%)	0.253
Less experienced	4 (13.3%)	0.615
Surgical techniques		
Minimally invasive	15 (50%)	0.124
Open surgery	15 (50%)	0.124

Table 3: Distribution of postoperative complications

Complication	Mean (±SD)	p-value
Wound infections	6 (20%)	0.034*
Dural tears	3 (10%)	0.189
Neurological deficits	4 (13.3%)	0.297

Table 4: Duration of follow-up post-surgery

Duration (months)	Mean (±SD)	p-value
Follow-up duration	18.7 (± 4.2)	-

Table 5: Information about complications and surgical outcomes in spinal surgery

Data point	Mean (±SD)	p-value
Length of hospital stay (days)	5.8 (±2.1)	0.012*
Blood loss (mL)	400 (±150)	0.087
Need for blood transfusions	9 (30%)	0.034*
Implant types (hardware)		
Pedicle screws	18 (60%)	0.027*
rods 20 (66.7%)	0.045*	
Revision surgery required	4 (13.3%)	0.189
BMI (kg m^{-2})	28.5 (±4.0)	0.206
Smoking status		
Smoker 8 (26.7%)	0.129	
Non-smoker	22 (73.3%)	
Time toreturn to activities	8.2 (±2.5)	0.051
Radiological assessment		
Successful fusion	25 (83.3%)	0.008*
Hardware-related Issues	5 (16.7%)	0.008*
Patient satisfaction (0-10)	8.1 (±1.2)	0.203
Pain medication usage		
Opioids 12 (40%)	0.052	
Non-opioids	18 (60%)	
Participation in rehab. prog.		
Yes	15 (50%)	0.087
No	15 (50%)	

This Table 3 summarizes the occurrence of postoperative complications following spinal surgeries and provides insight into their statistical significance. Approximately 20% of patients experienced wound infections. Significantly, the associated p-value (0.034*) indicates that these infections are statistically significant, suggesting a higher prevalence than expected by chance.

Dural tears occurred in 10% of cases. Although there is a numerical difference in incidence the p-value (0.189) doesn't reach statistical significance (at a 0.05 significance level), suggesting that this may be a chance variation rather than a significant association. Neurological deficits affected around 13.3% of patients post-surgery. Notably, the p-value (0.297) implies no statistically significant association, indicating that their occurrence may not differ significantly from random chance.

This Table 4 provides information on the duration of post-surgery follow-up for patients who underwent spinal procedures. Follow-Up Duration On average, patients were followed up for approximately 18.7 months after their surgeries, with a standard deviation of 4.2 months. However, no p-value is provided, suggesting that the follow-up duration is presented as an average measure without a specific statistical comparison.

Table 5 provides comprehensive data on postoperative complications and outcomes in spinal surgery. It reveals several noteworthy findings a significant occurrence of wound infections (20%) and the need for blood transfusions (30%). The choice of "Pedicle Screws" (60%) and "Rods" (66.7%) as implant types, along with radiological assessments showing "Successful Fusion" (83.3%) and "Hardware-related Issues" (16.7%), also exhibit statistical significance. However, factors such as the length of hospital stay, blood loss, revision surgery requirement, BMI, smoking status, time to return to normal activities, patient satisfaction, pain medication usage and participation in rehabilitation programs do not show significant differences within this dataset. These findings offer valuable insights into specific areas of concern in spinal surgery outcomes.

DISCUSSIONS

Complications in spinal surgery represent a significant concern due to their impact on patient outcomes and overall healthcare costs. These complications can range from minor issues, such as postoperative pain, to major concerns like infections, nerve damage, or failure of the spinal hardware. Prevention and management strategies are crucial in spinal surgery to minimize these risks. This involves a comprehensive approach, including preoperative planning, careful assessment of patient comorbidities, meticulous surgical technique and postoperative care. Advances in surgical technology, enhanced recovery protocols, and improved perioperative management have all contributed to reducing the incidence and severity of complications in spinal surgery. The goal is to improve patient outcomes by anticipating potential complications and having effective strategies in place to manage them.

Our study showed a high prevalence of comorbidities such as obesity and diabetes. The literature supports these findings, indicating that obese patients undergoing spinal surgery are more likely to experience increased blood loss, prolonged hospital stays, and a higher risk of infections. Similarly, diabetic patients are more likely to face greater disability and a higher chance of failed spinal fusion, highlighting the importance of careful preoperative assessment and optimization of these conditions[8].

In our study, we observed an equal distribution between minimally invasive and open surgical techniques. The literature confirms that minimally invasive procedures do not significantly differ in fusion rates compared to open surgical techniques, suggesting that both approaches can be equally effective. This finding is important as it offers flexibility in surgical planning, allowing for tailored approaches based on patient-specific factors and surgical objectives[9].

In present study's infection rate aligns with the reported range in the literature. Factors such as prolonged surgery, excessive blood loss and poor peri-operative glycemic control have been identified as risk factors for surgical site infections. This is particularly relevant for our study's patient population, emphasizing the need for careful surgical planning and perioperative management. Additionally, the debate over the effectiveness of intra-operative wound infiltration in our study is consistent with literature findings, where only a modest reduction in postoperative pain has been observed[10].

Our study's findings on the detrimental effects of obesity and smoking are strongly supported by existing research. Smoking is notably a risk factor for non-union in spinal fusion procedures and both smoking and obesity are linked to higher complication rates. This underscores the importance of preoperative counseling and potential lifestyle modifications to mitigate these risks[8]. The significance of managing preoperative anemia and intraoperative blood loss, as highlighted in our study, is reinforced by literature. These factors are associated with increased postoperative complications, longer hospital stays, and higher re-admission rates, emphasizing the need for meticulous intraoperative management and possibly preoperative optimization of these conditions[12].

Our study's focus on the duration of hospital stay is supported by the literature's emphasis on early mobilization post-surgery, which has been linked to reduced complication rates and improved patient outcomes. This aligns with our findings and suggests that strategies to facilitate early mobilization could be beneficial in improving surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction. In summary, our study's findings on the impact of comorbidities, surgical techniques, and postoperative care strategies are well-aligned with current literature. The study contributes valuable insights into spinal surgery practices and outcomes, highlighting the importance of comprehensive patient assessment, careful surgical planning, and optimized postoperative care.

REFERENCES

1. Charalampidis, A., F. Jiang, J.R.F. Wilson, J.H. Badhiwala, D.S. Brodke and M.G. Fehlings, 2020. The use of intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in spine surgery. Global Spine J., 10: 104-114.
2. Bono, C.M. and C.K. Lee, 2004. Critical analysis of trends in fusion for degenerative disc disease over the past 20 years. Spine, 29: 455-463.
3. Wang, J.C., H.H. Bohlman and K.D. Riew, 1998. Dural tears secondary to operations on the lumbar spine. management and results after a two-year-minimum follow-up of eighty-eight patients. J. Bone. Joint. Surg., 80: 1728-32.
4. Rihn, J.A., K. Kirkpatrick and T.J. Albert, 2010. Graft options in posterolateral and posterior interbody lumbar fusion. Spine, 35: 1629-1639.
5. Schoenfeld, A.J., L.M. Ochoa, J.O. Bader and P.J. Belmont, 2011. Risk factors for immediate postoperative complications and mortality following spine surgery: A study of 3475 patients from the national surgical quality improvement program. J. Bone Joint Surg., 93: 1577-1582.
6. Boswell, M.A. and C.G. Greenough, 2009. Surgical site infection in spinal surgery: A bibliometric analysis. Bone. Joint., Vol. 91.
7. Mobbs, R.J., K. Phan, G. Malham, K. Seex and P.J. Rao, 2015. Lumbar interbody fusion: techniques, indications and comparison of interbody fusion options including PLIF, TLIF, MI-TLIF, OLIF/ATP, LLIF and ALIF. J. Spine. Surg., 1: 2-18.
8. Licina, A., A. Silvers, H. Laughlin, J. XRussell and C. Wan, 2021. Pathway for enhanced recovery after spinal surgery-a systematic review of evidence for use of individual components. BMC Anesthesiol., Vol. 21. 10.1186/s12871-021-01281-1
9. Tavares, W.M., S.A. de França, W.S. Paiva and M.J. Teixeira, 2022. A systematic review and meta-analysis of fusion rate enhancements and bone graft options for spine surgery. Sci. Rep., Vol. 12 .10.1038/s41598-022-11551-8
10. Berman, D., J.H. Oren, J. Bendo and J. Spivak, 2017. The effect of smoking on spinal fusion. Int. J. Spine Surg., Vol. 11 .10.14444/4029
11. Gelebo, K.G., D. Neme, B. Destaw, Z. Aweke and S.M. Kasa, 2023. The effect of preoperative anemia on perioperative outcomes among patients undergoing emergency surgery: A multicenter prospective cohort study. Heliyon, Vol. 9 .10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17804
12. Alaparthi, G.K., A. Gatty, S.R. Samuel and S.K. Amaravadi, 2020. Effectiveness, safety, and barriers to early mobilization in the intensive care unit. Crit. Care Res. Pract., 2020: 1-14.