Research Journal of Medical Sciences 8 (2): 53-55, 2014

ISSN: 1815-9346

© Medwell Journals, 2014

Postpartum Uterine Caesarean Incision Necrosis and Pelvic Abscess Managed by Hysterectomy: A Complication of Puerperal Endomyometritis

Ahmed Samy El-Agwany Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

Abstract: Late postpartum hemorrhage and vaginal purulent discharge following a Caesarean Section (CS) is uncommon. A partial or complete dehiscence of the lower segment CS incision is a rare but possible cause. A 35 years old woman underwent a lower segment CS for primary infertility, triplet pregnancy and premature rupture of membranes at 34 weeks of gestation. On the 5th postpartum day, she developed vaginal bleeding that was inadequately managed and the patient developed purulent vaginal discharge on the 15th day postpartum. Emergency laparotomy revealed a complete dehiscence of the lower uterine segment incision. A subtotal hysterectomy was performed to and the postoperative course was uneventful.

Key words: Segment, postpartum, purulent, discharge, hysterectomy

INTRODUCTION

Late postpartum haemorrhage is defined as vaginal bleeding hat occurs between 24 h and 6 weeks after delivery. The most common causes are infection, subinvolution of the placental site, retained placental tissue and hereditary coagulopathy (ACOG, 1998). Late postpartum haemorrhage after a Caesarean Section (CS) is estimated to occur at a rate of approximately 1 in 365 cases (ACOG, 1998). A rare cause is the partial or complete dehiscence of the lower uterine segmentincision (Treanor, 1962). Researchers describe here a patient with late postpartum hemorrhage complicated with puerperal endometritis following CS that caused dehiscence of the uterine incision.

CASE

The patient was a 35 years old primiparous woman. The course of pregnancy had been normal and the patient had no significant medical or surgical history a transverse lower segment CS was performed because infertility, PROM and triplet pregnancy at 34 weeks of gestation in a private hospital.

On the 5th postpartum day, the patient developed vaginal haemorrhage that was poorly assessed in this hospital. She slowly developed purulent vaginal discharge that was managed as puerperal sepsis on home treatment but it did not repond so on the 15th day postpartum, she was admitted to the hospital. She had no abdominal pain or fever. On examination she had mild abdominal tenderness and the uterus was palpable nearly 24 weeks size. The patient had purulent vaginal discharge

and her pulse rate was 90/min, her blood pressure was 140/70, temperature 37°, WBC was 25000/cmm and her hemoglobin concentration was 10 g L⁻¹. Retained placental tissue was considered unlikely because delivery had been by CS and lochia had ceased. However, as the cervix was dilated with subinvoluted uterus. Exploration of the uterine cavity with a finger demonstrated a dehiscent scar of previous caesarean section.

An ultrasound examination of the pelvis performed subsequently demonstrated subinvoluted uterus with fluid intrauterine and pelvic collection.

The decision was made to perform emergency laparotomy under cover of antibiotics as diagnoses of uterine incision necrosis were highly suspected with the possibility that hysterectomy would be required. At laparotomy, there was purulent discharge in the peritoneal cavity. The uterus was subinvoluted and the pelvic tissues seemed very inflamed and friable and dehiscence of the lower segment uterine incision was identified.

A subtotal hysterectomy without salpingo-oophorectomy was performed (Fig. 1) and drainage of pelvic collection that was sent for histopathology and culture and sensitivity. The patient received a total of two units of packed red cells and two units of fresh frozen plasma. Her blood pressure remained stable throughout the surgery. Postoperative hemoglobin concentration was $9.9\,\mathrm{g\,L^{-1}}$.

The patient's postoperative course was uneventful. She was given intravenous gentamycin, cephalosporin and metronidazole. The patient was discharged on the 10th postoperative day and remained well thereafter. The histopathology showed endomyometritis and old haematoma at the uterine scar.



Fig. 1: The hysterectomy specimen showing the dehiscent scar with hematoma at edges

DISCUSSION

Late postpartum haemorrhage and endomyometritis following CS is uncommon. The traditional causes of postpartum hemorrhage which typically are retained placental fragments are less likely to arise after CS because the delivery of the placenta is directly observed (Keane, 1973; Ross and Galliford, 1974) postpartum hemorrhage due to partial or complete dehiscence of the lower segment uterine scar after CS has been reported (Keane, 1973; Ross and Galliford, 1974; Intaraprasert and Siwawej, 1984; Larsen et al., 1995; Heys, 1963; Ross, 1965; Shweni et al., 2003; Burke and Gallup, 2003; Wagner and Bedard, 2006) and it is reasonable to presume that less severe cases that are undiagnosed and unreported may occur more frequently (Intaraprasert and Siwawej, 1984). In these cases, bleeding is likely to arise from undiagnosed haematoma in the uterine incision (Keane, 1973).

Reported risk factors for dehiscence of the lower segment uterine scar following CS are multiparity, infection and an incision placed too low in the lower uterine segment (Ross and Galliford, 1974; Intaraprasert and Siwawej, 1984; Heys, 1963; Ross, 1965; Shweni et al., 2003). Incisions that are made too close to the relatively a vascular tissue of the cervix lead more often to necrosis of the angles of the wound (Ross and Galliford, 1974; Heys, 1963). Where dehiscence is described, the incision may appear healthy or necrotic (Larsen et al., 1995; Ross, 1965). Some reports describe dehiscence of a CS scar because of severe endomyometritis (Burke and Gallup, 2003; Wagner and Bedard, 2006). Others describe patients without clinical endomyometritis (Keane, 1973; Ross and Galliford, 1974; Intaraprasert and Siwawej, 1984; Heys, 1963). In the present case, researchers hypothesized because of the haemorrhage and histopatholgy report confirmation of haematoma that the patient may have developed a uterine hematoma in the incsiosn during suturing that subsequently became infected by low virulent vaginal flora that was attracted to the haematoma and eventually caused tissue necrosis and pelvic abcess. This leaded to continuous communication between the peritoneal cavity, uterine cavity and vagina that drained continuously the pelvic collection. This communication may be the main cause for continuous drainage of pus in a hemodynamically stable female without fever.

Among the factors associated with poor wound diabetes, malnutrition, healing are older age, immunosuppression and obesity (Burke and Gallup, 2003; Wagner and Bedard, 2006). The weight of the patient at the time of CS was ~100 kg. Her obesity may have contributed to the infection. In the evaluation of hemorrhage following CS, some researchers advise performing uterine exploration by curettage although in one case curettage led to perforation at the site of dehiscence with consequent development intraperitoneal hemorrhage (Heys, 1963; Ross, 1965). Other researchers, partly because of this risk, advise digital exploration of the cavity. Because defects in the incision may be missed by exploring from inside the uterine cavity, direct confirmation of dehiscence requires a laparotomy (Keane, 1973; Intaraprasert and Siwawej, 1984; Shweni et al., 2003). Dehiscence should also be strongly suspected if uterine exploration and curettage did not identify other sources of bleeding and discharge and if anecrotic defect was palpable at the incision site in the lower segment (Heys, 1963). However, the diagnosis is often made only after many episodes of bleeding and at the time when the decisionis made to perform hysterectomy. In such a clinical situation, ultrasound examination may be useful to exclude intra-abdominal bleeding and retained products of conception. Ultrasound and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) have also been used to measure the lower uterine segment thickness after CS (Rozenberg et al., 1996; Hebisch et al., 1994). However, their clinical usefulness in an acute setting needs to bedefined.

Conservative and aggressive approaches have been proposed for the management of dehiscence of a CS scar. Conservative measures include digital debridement and packing of the uterus, antibiotic therapy and blood transfusion (Keane, 1973; Heys, 1963; Ross, 1965). The more aggressive measures include debridement of the incision with repeat suture closure, ligation of the internal iliac arteries or uterine arteries and hysterectomy especially in infection as in the presenting case. Researchers were obliged to perform hysterectomy in the case as this was the source of infection (Keane, 1973; Heys, 1963; Ross, 1965). The exploration and resuture of

the incision can be difficult because of the friability of the tissue. In addition because of uterine involution, it may be that there is not enough tissue in the lower uterine segment to allow repair (Keane, 1973; Shweni *et al.*, 2003). Hemorrhage has been controlled by ligation of the uterine arteries per vagina and by ligation of one internal iliac artery but researchers tend to agree that hysterectomy is the treatment of choice (Keane, 1973; Ross, 1965).

CONCLUSION

Secondary postpartum haemorrhage and endomyometritis after CS due to a dehiscence of the lower uterine segment incision is, fortunately, relatively rare. Significant morbidity is associated with this complication. Clinicians must remain aware of the diagnostic and therapeutic options. It is very important not to under estimate this complain and closely managed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It has been recommended that allwomen who retain their uterus after a significantpostpartum hemorrhage following CS should undergo evaluation to rule out any defect in the scar (Heys, 1963). Researchers feel that the sepatients should undergo elective repeat CS and should not be candidates for a vaginal birth after CS.

REFERENCES

- ACOG, 1998. Postpartum hemorrhage. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Educational Bulletin No. 243, pp. 694-698.
- Burke, J.J.II. and D.G. Gallup, 2003. Incisions for Gynecologic Surgery. In: TeLinde's Operative Gynecology, Rock, J.A. and H.W. III. Jones (Ed.). 9th Edn., Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp: 264-266.

- Hebisch, G., P. Kirkinen, R. Haldemann, E. Paakkoo, A. Huch and R. Huch, 1994. [Comparative study of the lower uterine segment after Cesarean section using ultrasound and magnetic resonance tomography]. Ultraschall. Med., 15: 112-116, (Article in German).
- Heys, R.F., 1963. Late secondary haemorrhage from dehiscent lower segment caesarean section incisions. BJOG: Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol., 70: 647-651.
- Intaraprasert, S. and W. Siwawej, 1984. Recurring secondary Post Partum Hemorrhage (PPH) from the lower segment cesarean section scar: A case report. J. Med. Assoc. Thai, 67: 101-104.
- Keane, B., 1973. Recurrent secondary postpartum haemorrhage after lower segment caesareansection. N. Z. Med. J., 78: 256-257.
- Larsen, J.V., K. Janowski and A. Krolilowski, 1995. Secondary post partum haemorrhage due touterine wound dehiscence. Cent. Afr. J. Med., 41: 294-296.
- Ross, I.C., 1965. Ligation of uterine arteries, per vaginam, in a case of recurrent secondary post partum haemorrhage following caesarean section. Aust. N. Z. J. Obstet. Gynaecol., 5: 215-218.
- Ross, J.E. and B.W. Galliford, 1974. Late hemorrhage following cesarean section. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol., 119: 858-859.
- Rozenberg, P., F. Goffinet, H.J. Phillippe and I. Nisand, 1996. Ultrasonographic measurement of lower uterine segment to assess risk of defects of scarred uterus. Lancet, 347: 281-284.
- Shweni, P.M., B.B. Bishop, J.N. Hansen and K.T. Subrayen, 2003. Severe secondary postpartum haemorrhage after caesarean section. S. Afr. Med. J., 72: 617-619.
- Treanor, T.A., 1962. Late postpartum hemorrhage. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol., 83: 37-44.
- Wagner, M.S. and M.J. Bedard, 2006. Postpartum uterine wound dehiscence: A case report. J Obstet. Gynaecol. Can., 28: 713-715.