ISSN: 1815-8846

© Medwell Journals, 2009

Study of Effect of Adaptive Histogram Equalization on Image Quality in Digital Preapical Image in Pre Apex Area

Mojdeh Mehdizadeh and Sepideh Dolatyar Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Dental School, Isfahan University of Medical Science, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract: Comparing direct digital radiographs before and after processing with Adaptive Histogram Equalization (AHE) in order to detect the effectiveness of this option on diagnostic ability of images in pre apex area. In this study, 28 digital radiographs were evaluated and were scored from 1-5 for three different anatomical areas by three dentists who were expert in reading digital radiographs. Then, we processed the images with adaptive histogram equalization. Each reading was first done on unprocessed radiograph (single view) and then re-done with image processed with adaptive histogram equalization displayed beside the unprocessed version (twin view). Histogram equalization of images by the way of increasing contrast were caused in increasing the diagnostic ability of lamina dura and root apex in maxilla and lamina dura, bone trabeculation and root apex in mandible. But, quality of diagnosing of bone trabeculation in maxilla was decreased by this method. Displaying a dental image processed with adaptive histogram equalization together with unprocessed image may enhance the subjectively evaluated image quality, thereby providing extra information for the dentist. The twin view procedure facilitates the discerning of root apex in preapical images with suboptimal quality.

Key words: Digital images, dental radiography, digital radiography, dental

INTRODUCTION

Digital imaging has the potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and make quantative diagnose. (Whites and Pharaoh, 2004; Pretty, 2006). In recent decades soft ware for radiographic analysis has been developed. An adaptive histogram is a useful tool for clinical assessment. An adaptive techniques working on small ports of image at a time (Whites and Pharaoh, 2004; Sund and Moystad, 2006; Sound and Eilertsen, 2007).

An adaptive technique enhanced image can therefore, be displayed routinely together with the unprocessed image to assist in reading difficult images (Sund and Moystad, 2006).

The purpose of this study was to compare direct digital radiographs before and after processing with adaptive histogram equalization in order to detect the effectiveness of this option on diagnostic ability of images in pre apex area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is an experimental labatory study. Twenty eight periapical digital radiographs were selected from digital

archive of dental school. The image technology was CCD (Charge Couple Device) recording (Mps.progency USA) with an image matrix of 560×416.8 bites nominal pixel size 72 Mm.

The X-ray tube was planmeca (prostyleintral, Helsinki, Finland) (70 Kvp, 8 mA, 2 mm AL). The image were extracted in uncompressed format (windows R JPEG) The images viewed in laptop: 15 1/4 inch,CRT color monitor (Dell Inspiror W6400). We used Photoshop 8.0 Me.

At first images viewed by expert dental radiologist on monitor. The room was in stable fluorescent lamps. The observer was asked to visually locate the apex of roots in image and fill the questionnaire and grade the quality of examination.

For each root on scale of 1 (condition that the quality of image is very bad and apex not read) to 5 (condition that the quality of image is very good, apex read very good).

At first readers view images single view and studied lamina dura, bone trabeculation, root's apex. They didn't permit to use any changes or processing on the images.

Processing the quality in software is a long terms processing and took long time. In this study, we wanted

to improve diagnostic value of images without observing images and with histogram increase contrast and decrease noise value of images in short time.

We made histogram more flat. At first, we open the image on Photoshop 8.0 Me then open histogram menu. Then, we open image menu, we selected adjustment and finally brightness and contrast options.

In this study, we move contrast option to right till histogram equalization happened and it made flat and increase contras. Then, processed image and unprocessed image were shown side by side (twin view) and observers asked to fill questionnaire and grade 1-5. So observers studied two times images once single and unprocessed and once twin view and processed.

SPSS sofrware (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) were used for data analysis. We used 3 independent observations of image quality for each root apex, we obtained a sample inter observer variance for pereapical readings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There were 28 pereapial digital images (15 lower jaw, 13 upper jaw), 90 observations root apex, in lower jaw and 117 observations in upper jaw.

Data were analyzed with wilkokson analysis. Diagnostic grade in both upper and lower jaw before and after histogram equalization is different. In first molar in upper jaw the grade of lamina dura and root apex increase by processing, but about bone trabeculation histogram equalization caused decrease the grade (Table 1 and 2).

We found a positive effect of histogram equalization, when we used in twin view reading of preapical images only in molar upper jaw regions. Histogram equalization caused decreased quality of reading bone of trabecualation. It may be because of superimposition of sinus floor.

A previous study found Photoshop soft ware by changing color, light and contrast images caused better images (Caruso and Postel, 2002). Nicopolou-Karayianni *et al.* (2002) found that image processing increased the agreement between the observers.

A previous study found that with using SWAHE (sliding window adaptive histogram equalization) in twin-view in crease the diagnostic quality and enhanced contrast. SWAHE also affects caries diagnosis of bitewing images and further study using a gold standard is warranted (Sund and Moystad, 2006).

Lehmann *et al.* (2002) can perform histogram equalization within an interactively specified Region of Interest (ROI).

Table 1: Meen, median, SD grade in upper jaw molar in different roots							
		Lamina	Bone	Root			
Images	Root	dura	trabeculation	apex			
Non-processed	Palatal						
•	Mean	2.6923	3.6923	2.6923			
	Median	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000			
	SD	1.0315	0.8548	0.9473			
	Distal						
	Mean	2.9231	3.6154	2.9231			
	Median	3.0000	4.0000	3.0000			
	SD	1.1875	1.1209	0.9540			
	Mesial						
	Mean	2.5385	3.4615	3.0000			
	Median	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000			
	SD	1.3301	0.9674	1.0000			
	Total						
	Mean	2.7179	3.5897	2.8718			
	Median	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000			
	SD	1.1686	0.9656	0.9508			
Processed	Palatal						
	Mean	3.7692	2.8462	3.9231			
	Median	4.0000	3.0000	4.0000			
	SD	1.2351	0.6887	0.8623			
	Distal						
	Mean	3.3846	2.9231	4.0769			
	Median	3.0000	3.0000	4.0000			
	SD	0.9607	1.1151	0.7595			
	Mesial						
	Mean	3.6923	2.6923	4.0000			
	Median	4.0000	3.0000	4.0000			
	SD	1.2506	0.6304	0.8165			
	Total						
	Mean	3.6154	2.8205	4.0000			
	Median	4.0000	3.0000	4.0000			

1 aute 2. Meen, 1	nedian, SD g		ile molar in differen	
		Lamina	Bone	Root
Images	Root	dura	trabeculation	apex
Non-processed	Distal			
	Mean	2.4667	3.0667	3.8000
	Median	2.0000	3.0000	4.0000
	SD	0.8338	0.8837	0.4140
	Mesial			
	Mean	2.4000	3.4000	3.8667
	Median	3.0000	3.0000	4.0000
	SD	1.0556	0.6324	0.7432
	Total			
	Mean	2.4	3.4	3.86
	Median	2.0000	3.0000	4.0000
	SD	1.0556	0.6324	0.7432
Processed	Distal			
	Mean	3.6000	3.8667	4.7333
	Median	3.0000	4.0000	5.0000
	SD	0.9102	0.9154	0.4577
	Mesial			
	Mean	3.8000	4.4000	4.9333
	Median	4.0000	5.0000	5.0000
	SD	1.0141	0.8280	0.2582
	Total			
	Mean	3.8	4.4	4.933
	Median	3.5	4.0000	5.0000
	SD	1.0141	0.8280	0.2582

1.1382

SD

0.8230

0.7947

CONCLUSION

Displaying a dental image processed with histogram equalization enhance the subjectively image quality and

providing better information for dentist and facilitate the discerning of root apex in pereapical images and study lamina dura more the computer processing time is not so long and allows this method used routinely in dentistry.

REFERENCES

- Caruso, R.D. and G.C. Postel, 2002. Image editing with adobe photoshop 6.0. Radiographics, 22 (4): 993-1002. PMID: 12110728. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12110728?ordinalpos=10&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed_Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum&log\$=freeir.
- Lehmann, T.M., E. Troeltsch and K. Spitzer, 2002. Image processing and enhancement provided by commercial dental software programs. Dento Maxilla Facial Radiol., 31: 264-272. PMID: 12087444. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez.
- Nicopolou-Karayianni, K., U. Bragger, A. Patrikiou, A. Stassinakis and N.P. Lang, 2002. Image processing for enhanced observer agreement in the evaluation of preapical bone changes. Int. Endod. J., 35: 615-622. PMID: 12190901. http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/sites/entrez.

- Pretty, I.A., 2006. Caries detection and diagnosis: Novotel technologies. J. Dent., 34: 727-739. DOI: 10.1016/j. jdent.2006.06.001. PMID: 16901606. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez.
- Sund, T. and A. Moystad, 2006. Sliding window adoptive histogram equalization of intra-oral radiographs: Effect on image quality. Dentomaxillofacial Radiol., 35: 133-138. DOI: 10.1259/dmfr/21936923. PMID: 166 18843. http://dmfr.birjournals.org/cgi/content/abstract/35/3/133http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/ent rez?cmd=Link&db=pubmed&dbFrom=PubMed&fr om uid=16618843.
- Sound, T. and K. Eilertsen, 2007. An algorithm for fast adaptive image binaarization with application in radiotherapy imaging. Phys. Med. Biol., 52 (22): 6651-6661. DOI: 10.1109/TMI.2002.806431. PMID: 17975289. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez. http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/Xplore/login.jsp?url=http%3A%2F%2Fieeexplore.ieee.org%2Fiel5%2F42%2F26701%2F01191357.pdf%3Farnumber%3D1191357&authDecision=-203.
- Whites, S.C. and M.J. Pharaoh, 2004. Oral Radiology Principles and Interpretation. 4th Edn. ST Louis: Mosby, pp: 225-245. ISBN: 0815194919. http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_hb6368/is_2_74/ai_n28778551.